

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT, GREAT LAKES, AND ENERGY

Tier 2 MIRBCA Example Site

Steve Beukema, Ph.D. Part 213 Program Coordinator 269.547.0125 | <u>beukemas@michigan.gov</u>



Example Site



500' Well Survey



Current Use

- Is there a water supply well onsite?
 - **–** No
- Is there a potentially impacted offsite supply well?
 - There is one supply well, 320' upgradient from property boundary
 - No supply wells are potentially impacted (professional judgment)
- The groundwater protection pathway is not complete for current use



MIRBCA Form 13(1) – Onsite

Facility ID number:	elease(s) discovered:	
Date form completed:	Form completed by:	
GROUN	NDWATER PROTECTION - CURRE	NT USE (ONSITE AND OFFSITE)
ONSITE: Determine if the path	way is complete for current use o	onsite
1. Is there a water supply well onsit	e?	TYes Vo
If the answer is "Yes", provide the	total depth of the well (ft bgs):	
The onsite supply well(s) is the po- pathway is not complete for curre	int of exposure (POE) for current use nt use.	and must be sampled. If there is no onsite well, then the
Is the current land use nonreside	ntial?	Ves No
 Is the current land use nonresider Based on the above considerat 	ntial? ions, is the GW protection pathw	ay complete for:
2. Is the current land use nonresider Based on the above considerat Onsite residential current use	ntial? ions, is the GW protection pathw	Tay complete for:



MIRBCA Form 13(1) – Offsite

Ves Yes

□ No

OFFSITE: Determine if the pathway is complete for current use offsite

Are there any water supply wells within 500 ft of the site property boundary?
 If the answer is "Yes", provide the following information for each well:

Distance from Screen Interval Land Use Well ID Site Property to Direction Water Use (ft bgs) NR R Well (ft) 320 70-90 X12345Y South Potable ~

Any potentially impacted supply wells are points of exposure (POEs) for current use and must be sampled. If there are no potentially impacted supply wells, then the pathway is not complete for current use.

Based on the above considerations, is the GW protection pathway complete for:

Offsite residential current use	∏ Yes	Vo No
Offsite nonresidential current use	☐ Yes	₩ No



MIRBCA Form 13(2) – Onsite Future Use

	MIRBCA REPORT			F	ORM NO. 13(2)
	Facility ID number:	Date(s) confirmed	release(s) discovered:		
	Date form completed:		Form completed by:		
	G	ROUNDWATER PROTECTI	ON - FUTURE USE (ONSITE)		
	ONSITE: Determine if the pathw	ay is complete for future	use onsite	Yes	No
	1. Is there a land use or groundwate	resource use restriction on	site?	Г	
	2a. Groundwater quantity. Does the	formation yield sufficient w	vater?	<u>ک</u> ا	Г
\	(Refer to Appendix B.2 and justify b	elow, if the answer is "No")			
VVINIAA	2b. Hydraulic communication. Is the	impacted formation likely t	o transport	v	Г
	COCs to an aquifer? (Refer to Appe	endix B.2 and justify below, if	the answer is "No")		
	3a. Is the depth to the bottom of the	aquifer >15 feet?		শ	Г
	(Refer to Appendix B.3 and justify b	elow, if the answer is "No")			
w in a	3b. Is the impacted formation likely to	transport COCs to a useab	le aquifer?	v	Г
allow,	(Refer to Appendix B.3 and justify b	elow, if the answer is "No")			
nusable	3c. Are there any water supply wells w	vithin 300 feet of the site pr	operty?	Г	ম
quifer	(Refer to Appendix B.3 and provide	justification below)			
	If either: (i) 2a and 2b are checked	'No", or (ii) 3a, 3b, and 3c ar	e checked "No", the pathway is no	ot complete for fu	ture use. If
	the pathway is complete for future maximum concentration of each C	use, the POE is any point in t OC on each impacted prope	he affected aquifer and is evaluated to the second term of term	ed in Tier 1 by the	e recent
	maximum concentration of each C	OC on each impacted proper	ty.		
	Based on the above consideration	ons, is the GW protection p	pathway complete for:	_	
	Onsite residential future use			v Ye	s No
	Onsite nonresidential future u	se		⊢ Ye	s 🗸 No

MIRBCA Form 13(2) – Offsite Future Use

Date(s) confirm	ed release(s) discovered:						
Date form completed: Form completed by:							
GROUNDWATER PROTEC	TION - FUTURE USE (OFFSIT	E)					
hway is complete for futu	ire use offsite	Yes	No				
ter resource use restriction	onsite?	Г	v				
a. Groundwater quantity. Does the formation yield sufficient water?							
y below, if the answer is "No	")						
he impacted formation like	ly to transport	v	Г				
opendix B.2 and justify below	, if the answer is "No")						
ne aquifer >15 feet?		v	Г				
y below, if the answer is "No	")						
to transport COCs to a use	able aquifer?	ম	Г				
y below, if the answer is "No	")						
s within 300 feet of the site	property?	Г	~				
de justification below)							
ed "No", or (ii) 3a, 3b, and 3c re use, the POE is any point i	are checked "No", the pathway in the affected aquifer and is eva	is not complete for fu luated in Tier 1 by the	ture use. If e recent				
	Date(s) confirm GROUNDWATER PROTEC thway is complete for futu- ater resource use restriction the formation yield sufficient by below, if the answer is "No the impacted formation like opendix B.2 and justify below the aquifer >15 feet? by below, if the answer is "No y to transport COCs to a use by below, if the answer is "No s within 300 feet of the site de justification below) and "No", or (ii) 3a, 3b, and 3c the use, the POE is any point in	Date(s) confirmed release(s) discovered: Form completed by: GROUNDWATER PROTECTION - FUTURE USE (OFFSITE theway is complete for future use offsite atter resource use restriction onsite? the formation yield sufficient water? ty below, if the answer is "No") the impacted formation likely to transport opendix B.2 and justify below, if the answer is "No") the aquifer >15 feet? ty below, if the answer is "No") y to transport COCs to a useable aquifer? ty below, if the answer is "No") is within 300 feet of the site property? de justification below) ed "No", or (ii) 3a, 3b, and 3c are checked "No", the pathway re use, the POE is any point in the affected aquifer and is evaluated formation in the affected aquifer and is evaluated formation in the affected aquifer and is evaluated formation below in the affected aquifer and is evaluated formation below in the affected aquifer and is evaluated formation below in the affected aquifer and is evaluated formation below in the affected aquifer and is evaluated formation below in the affected aquifer and is evaluated formation below in the affected aquifer and is evaluated formation below in the affected aquifer and is evaluated formation below in the affected aquifer and is evaluated formation below in the affected aquifer and is evaluated formation below in the affected aquifer and is evaluated formation below in the affected aquifer and is evaluated formation below in the affected aq	Date(s) confirmed release(s) discovered: Form completed by: GROUNDWATER PROTECTION - FUTURE USE (OFFSITE) GROUNDWATER PROTECTION - FUTURE USE (OFFSITE) Sthway is complete for future use offsite Yes Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2">Colspan="2" Colspan="2" Colspan="2" Colspan="2" Colspan="2" Colspan="2" Colspan="2" Colspan="2" Colspan="2" Colspan="2" Colspan="2" Colspan="2" Colspan="2" Colspan="2" Colspan="2" <t< td=""></t<>				

Offsite nonresidential future use

8



Yes 🔽

Summary of Tier 1 Exposure Model

MIRBCA REPORT						FOI	RM NO. 15(5) -	SUMMARY OF EM					
Facility ID number:			Date(s) confir	med release(s) disc	covered:								
Date form completed:			Form complet	ed by:									
	SUMMARY OF EXPOSURE MODEL (COMPLETE ROUTES OF EXPOSURE HIGHLIGHTED)												
		ONSITE F	RECEPTOR			OFFSITE	RECEPTOR						
POLITES OF EXPOSURE	CUR	RENT USE	FUT	URE <mark>U</mark> SE	CUR	RENT USE	FUT	URE USE					
ROUTES OF EXPOSORE	Residential	Nonresidential	Residential	Nonresidential	Residential	Nonresidential	Residential	Nonresidential					
VADOSE ZONE SOIL													
Volatilization to indoor air													
Groundwater protection	NC	NC	C	NC	NC	NC	с	NC					
Surface water protection													
GROUNDWATER		-			13:		2						
Groundwater protection	NC	NC	C	NC	NC	NC	с	NC					
Surface water protection													
Volatilization to indoor air													

- Tier 1 Recent Maximum for each COC
 - Onsite Determine recent maximum for each COC
 - Offsite Determine recent maximum for each COC
 - Maximum can be from different wells





EGLE

- Benzene 220 ug/L
- Toluene 1,500 ug/L
- Ethylbenzene 830 ug/L
- Xylenes 3,300 ug/L

12

• (for demonstration only)

Onsite Current Use Evaluation

MIRBCA REPORT									FOR	RM NO. 16(2	CG) - ONSIT	ENO	NRESIDENTI	AL CURREN	T USE
Facility ID number:				Date(s) co	onfirmed rel	ease(s)	discovered	:							
Date form completed:				Form com	pleted by:										
COMPARISON OF TIER	1 RBSLs WITH	REPRESEN	ITATIV	E CONCENT	RATIONS -	ONSIT	E NONRESID	DENTIAL CU	RRENT	USE (SURFI	CIAL SOIL A	ND G	W PROTECTI	ON)	
	SURFICIAL SOIL VADOSE ZO								E ZONE SOI	L	GROU	NDWATER			
CHEMICALS OF CONCERN	Direct cont contact and	act (dermal d ingestion)	(dermal gestion) Ambient air inhalation (vapors) Ambient air inhalation (particulates) Groundwater protection N		Groundwater protection NC		NC	Groundwate	r protection	NC					
	Rep. Conc. [µg/kg]	RBSLs [µg/kg]	E/NE	Rep. Conc. [µg/kg]	RBSLs [µg/kg]	E/NE	Rep. Conc. [µg/kg]	RBSLs [µg/kg]	E/NE	Rep. Conc. POE [µg/kg]	RBSLs POE [µg/kg]	E/NE	Rep. Conc. POE [µg/L]	RBSLs POE [µg/L]	E/NE
Benzene															
Toluene															
Ethylbenzene															
Total xylenes															
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene															
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene															

Future Use Onsite Evaluation

MIRBCA REPORT										FORM NO). 16(1FG) -	ONSI	TE RESIDENT	TIAL FUTUR	E USE
Facility ID number:				Date(s) co	onfirmed rel	ease(s)	discovered								
Date form completed:				Form com	pleted by:										
COMPARISON OF T	IER 1 RBSLs W	ITH REPRES	SENTA	TIVE CONCE	NTRATION	S - ON	SITE RESIDE	NTIAL FUT	JRE US	E (SURFICIA	L SOIL AND	GW F	ROTECTION)	
				SUR	FICIAL SOIL					VADOS	E ZONE SOI	L	GROU	NDWATER	
CHEMICALS OF CONCERN	Direct cont contact an	tact (dermal d ingestion)		Ambient a (vap	Ambient air inhalation Am (vapors)			Ambient air inhalation (particulates)			r protection	c	Groundwate	r protection	с
	Rep. Conc. [µg/kg]	RBSLs [µg/kg]	E/NE	Rep. Conc. [µg/kg]	RBSLs	E/NE	Rep. Conc. [µg/kg]	RBSLs [µg/kg]	E/NE	Rep. Conc. POE [µg/kg]	RBSLs POE [µg/kg]	E/NE	Rep. Conc. POE [µg/L]	RBSLs POE [µg/L]	E/NE
Benzene													2.20E+02	5.00E+00	E
Toluene													1.50E+03	7.90E+02	E
Ethylbenzene		I											8.30E+02	7.40E+01	E
Total xylenes						_		···					3.30E+03	2.80E+02	E

MIRBCA REPORT										FORM NC). 16(3FG) -	OFFSI	TE RESIDENT	FIAL FUTUR	E USE
Facility ID number:				Date(s) co	onfirmed rel	ease(s)	discovered								
Date form completed:				Form com	pleted by:										
COMPARISON OF TI	ER 1 RBSLs W	ITH REPRES	SENTAT	TIVE CONCE	NTRATION	5 - OFF	SITE RESIDE	NTIAL FUT	URE U	SE (SURFICIA	L SOIL AND	GW F	ROTECTION	0	
	SURFICIAL SOIL VADOSE ZONE SOIL G						GROU	GROUNDWATER							
CHEMICALS OF CONCERN	Direct cont contact an	act (dermal d ingestion)		Ambient ai (vaj	Ambient air inhalation (vapors)		Ambient air inhalation (particulates)			Groundwater protection		c	Groundwate	r protection	с
	Rep. Conc. [µg/kg]	RBSLs [µg/kg]	E/NE	Rep. Conc. [µg/kg]	RBSLs [µg/kg]	E/NE	Rep. Conc. [µg/kg]	RBSLs [µg/kg]	E/NE	Rep. Conc. POE [µg/kg]	RBSLs POE [µg/kg]	E/NE	Rep. Conc. POE [µg/L]	RBSLs POE [µg/L]	E/NE
Benzene													5.00E-01	5.00E+00	NE
Toluene													1.00E+01	7.90E+02	NE
Ethylbenzene													1.00E+01	7.40E+01	NE
Total xylenes													1.00E+01	2.80E+02	NE
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene					Contraction of the second			Per l'Alle Martine Martine Martine	-						

Replace ND values with <detection limit

(values in slide are for demonstration purposes only)



Summary of Exceedances

MIRBCA REPORT						FORM NO. 1	6(6) - SUMMAR	OF EXCEEDANCES
Facility ID number:		Date(s) confirmed	release(s) discov	ered:				
Date form completed:		Form completed by	y:					
SUMM	ARY OF EXCEEDA	NCES (EXCEEDANCE	S FOR COMPLET	E ROUTES OF EXPOS	SURE HIGHLIGHT	TED)		
ROUTES OF EXPOSURE	CURR		FUTU	JRE USE	CURR	ENT USE	FUTU	JRE USE
	Residential	Nonresidential	Residential	Nonresidential	Residential	Nonresidential	Residential	Nonresidential
SURFICIAL SOIL	Î	1		:				
Direct contact (dermal contact and ingestion)					c			
Ambient air inhalation (vapors)					5			
Ambient air inhalation (particulates)								
VADOSE ZONE SOIL	Ì							-
Groundwater protection								
Surface water protection (POE at GSI)					1	455		
Surface water protection (POE at Storm sewer outfall)								
Volatilization to indoor air (Building 1)								
Volatilization to indoor air (Building 2)								
GROUNDWATER					5 5		0. 	
Groundwater protection			E				NE	
Surface water protection (POE at GSI)								



Recommendations Based on Tier 1 Evaluation

MIRBCA REPORT				FORM NO. 17(1)				
Facility ID number:			Date(s) confirmed release(s) discover	red:				
Date form complete	ed:							
	CO	NCLUSION AND RE	COMMENDATION BASED ON TIER 1 EVA	LUATON (ONSITE RECEPTORS)				
Instructions: Based exceedance, propose ad Monitoring, (iv) others	on the results of the Tie ctions to manage the u including active remed	er 1 Evaluation, (refer nacceptable risk. Act iation, Tier 3 etc. or (to Form 16(6)), discuss each medium, pathw tions can include (i) Tier 2 evaluation, (ii) inst v) a combination of these.	ay and receptor combination that exceeds the Tier 1 RBSLs. For each itutional controls, notices, and restrictions to eliminate the pathway, (iii)				
Current/Future Use Medium Receptor Receptor Which Representative Concentration Proposed Management Strategy Exceeds RBSL								
				✓ Tier 2 Evaluation				
Future lles	Crowndwater	Desidential	Community of the second second	Institutional controls				
Future Ose	Groundwater	Residential	Grounawater protection	Monitoring				
				C Other (active remediation, Tier 3 etc. (provide details below)				
				Tier 2 Evaluation				
				Institutional controls				
				Monitoring				
				C Other (active remediation, Tier 3 etc. (provide details below)				



Exposure Model for Tier 2 Evaluation

MIRBCA REPORT			FORM NO. 18(1)					
Facility ID number:			Date(s) confirmed release(s) discovered:					
Date form completed	d:		Form completed by:					
I	EXPOSURE MODEL FO	R TIER 2 EVALUAT	ION BASED ON TIER 1 RESULTS (ONSITE RECEPTORS)					
Current/Future Use	Medium	Receptor	Complete Exposure Pathway for Which Representative Concentration Exceeds RBSL					
Future Use	Groundwater	Residential	Groundwater protection					



Tier 2 Future Use POE



Figure 5-13. Determining a Tier 2 POE for the groundwater protection pathway

19

¹Refer to the three bullet points listed in Scenario 1 to determine if a future water supply well could reasonably be installed. ²Excluding restricted areas and ROWs. ³The POE is located in the affected aquifer, at a point closest to the source area on the adjacent property boundary, excluding restricted areas and ROWs. Refer to the discussion in Scenario 2, below.



A well cannot reasonably be installed if...

- Property is connected to municipal water
- Municipal water is available and there is an ordinance that either requires hookup or prohibits future wells
- Groundwater use on the property is reliably restricted pursuant to Section 21310a of the NREPA



300' Buffer from Property Boundary



Property boundary of property with supply well is within 300' of site property boundary





22

EG

Facility ID number: Date(s) confirmed release(s) discovered:												
Date form completed:	Form completed	by:										
TIER 2 DETERMINING GROUNDWATER PROTECTION POE FOR FUTURE USE												
1. Is there a water supply well onsite or could (<i>Refer to MIRBCA Section 5.4</i>)	a well reasonably b	e install	ed onsite?		□ Yes	☑ No						
If the answer is "Yes", omit Questions 2 to 7 and complete the bottom part of the form. The POE for future use is any point in the affected aquifer (Scenario 3) and is evaluated by the maximum of the recent average (refer to MIRBCA Section 5.4) on each impacted property. If "No", continue with the form.												
2. List source dimensions (ft):	Length (W)	25	Width (Y)	25	(Refer to MIRBCA	A Section 7.2.2)						
3. List the predominant groundwater flow dire	ction:		NNE									
4. Are there any existing water supply wells or reasonably be installed within 300 ft of the	could a future wat site property bound	er suppl dary?	y well		V Yes	□ No						
If "No", the POE for future use is 300 ft down wells within 300 ft of property boundary and properties within 300 feet are connected to n water or prohibits future wells). If "Yes", com	gradient from the e documentation tha nunicipal water or d plete the informatic	dge of th t a well o ocument on below	he source (Sce l could not reaso tation of an orc	nario 1). onably be dinance th	Provide documentatic installed (e.g., docume at requires connection	on of no current entation that all n to municipal						



cility ID numb	ber:	Date(s) con	Date(s) confirmed release(s) discovered:						
ate form comp	oleted:	Form com	oleted by:						
	TIER 2 D	ETERMINING GRO	OUNDWATER PRO	TECTION POE FOR	FUTURE US	SE			
	Offsite Prope	rty Address	Distance From So With Current Future	ource to Property or Reasonable Well (ft)	Directi	on			
	123 Mai	n St.	290 1	eet	South	ē .			
List distance an property boun	nd direction from edge dary	of source to neare	st downgradient	Distance(ft)	150	Directi	on	NNE	
Is a distance li	isted in #4 less than the	distance listed in #	ce listed in #5						
If "Yes", the dis	stance and direction in ‡	‡4 must also be eval	luated as a POE:						
Distance(ft)	Dire	ection	(Additional POE fo	or Scenario 2)					
Does an additi in groundwate If "Yes", list dist	ional POE other than # er flow direction? tance from source to ne	5 require evaluation arest property bound	dary and list direction	ainty or variability on.		Yes	☑ No		
Distance(ft)	Dire	ection	(Additional POE fo	or Scenario 2)					
Conclusions (Check All That Apply	:							
□ Scenario 1:	POE is 300 ft downgra	dient from edge of	source area			Direction	on		
Scenario 2:	POE is nearest downg	adient property bo	undary	Distance(ft)	150	Directio	on	NNE	
□ Scenario 2:	Additional POE(s)			Distance(ft)		Directio	on		
Scenario 3:	POE is any point in the	e affected aquifer							
List POC Well	s (Scenario 2 and 3 O	nly):							
	POC#	Well ID	Distance from Source (ft)	Direction from Source					
	1	MW-3s	40	NW					
	2	MW-4	50	NNF					

130

NNE

3

MW-8







Tier 2 Inputs

- Precipitation is 30"/year; assume infiltration is 10%
- Depth to GW is 16'

- Aquifer is silty sand, assume 35,000 cm/year (~1150 ft/year)
- Gradient is 1.5' per 150' = 0.01
- Mixing zone thickness 7' estimated
- Source dimensions = 25' by 25'

Dilution Attenuation Factors

- DAF-vadose zone = 1
- DAF-mixing zone = 13.86
- DAF-saturated zone = 10.97

- DAF-POC1 = 1.40
- DAF-POC2 = 1.79
- DAF-POC3 = 8.40



Tier 2 SSTLs

	SSTL(soil source)	SSTL(GWsource)	SSTL(POC1)	SSTL(POC2)	SSTL(POC3)	RBTL(POE)
Benzene	390	55	39	31	7	5
Toluene	83248	8688	6184	4859	1034	790
Ethylbenzene	12635	814	579	455	97	74
Xylenes	42223	3079	2192	1722	366	280

- DAF-vadose zone = 1
- DAF-mixing zone = 13.86

- DAF-POC1 = 1.40
- DAF-POC2 = 1.79
- DAF-saturated zone = 10.97 DAF-POC3 = 8.40



Tier 2 Benzene SSTLs



EGLE

Width of groundwater source (Y)

- Estimate based on soil borings, plume width, size of release
- Can conservatively estimate large size
- Smaller width requires more justification
- 50' = 5.78 DAF; 29 ppb benzene
- 25' = 10.97 DAF; 55 ppb benzene
- 10' = 27.09 DAF; 135 ppb benzene

$$\frac{1}{DAF} = \frac{C(x)}{C_o} = erf\left[\frac{Y}{4\sqrt{\alpha_y x}}\right] \times erf\left[\frac{\delta_{gw}}{2\sqrt{\alpha_z x}}\right]$$

Dispersivity

- Longitudinal dispersivity (alpha-x)
 - 10% of distance to POC
- Lateral dispersivity (alpha-y)
 - 33% of longitudinal dispersivity
- Vertical dispersivity (alpha-z)

31

- 5% of longitudinal dispersivity

$$\frac{1}{DAF} = \frac{C(x)}{C_o} = erf\left[\frac{Y}{4\sqrt{\alpha_y x}}\right] \times erf\left[\frac{\delta_{gw}}{2\sqrt{\alpha_z x}}\right]$$

Mixing zone thickness

- Estimate based on soil borings, plume thickness preferred
- Can be calculated

$$\delta_{gw} = (0.0112 \times W^2)^{0.5} + d_a \left\{ 1 - exp \left[\frac{-WI}{Kid_a} \times 365 \right] \right\}$$

- GW source parallel to flow (W)
- Aquifer thickness (da)
- Infiltration rate (I)
- Hydraulic conductivity (K)
- Hydraulic gradient (i)





EGLE

Mixing zone thickness

- 2' = DAF 37.24; 186 ppb benzene
- 7' = DAF 10.97; 55 ppb benzene
- 12' = DAF 6.86; 34 ppb benzene



GW SSTLs are a function of:

- Width of groundwater source
- Mixing zone thickness

- The smaller the value, the more critical it is to ask:
 - Is the value reasonable?
 - Is the value supported by site data?
 - Is the value protective of the POE?

Range of SSTLs for POE at 150'

	SSTL(GWsource)	SSTL(POC1)	SSTL(POC2)	SSTL(POC3)	
Benzene	186	55	39	7	5
Toluene	29425	8719	6101	1045	790
Ethylbenzene	2756	817	572	98	74
Xylenes	10429	3090	2163	370	280

• Source width = 50'; thickness = 10'

	SSTL(GWsource)	SSTL(POC1)	SSTL(POC2)	SSTL(POC3)	
Benzene	40	30	25	6	5
Toluene	6305	4819	4015	1022	790
Ethylbenzene	591	451	376	96	74
Xylenes	2235	1708	1423	362	280



Range of Benzene SSTLs for POE at 150'



--SSTL --SSTL small source --SSTL large source



Calculate soil SSTLs



DAF-mixing zone



DAF-mixing zone

- Higher DAF-mixing zone when
 - High values for:
 - Hydraulic conductivity
 - Hydraulic gradient
 - Small values for:
 - Infiltration rate
 - GW source dimension parallel to flow direction
- More critical to look at values when DAF is a large number

$$DAF_{mix} = \frac{C_{st}}{C_{gwm}} = 1 + \frac{U_{gw}\delta_{gw}}{IW}$$

$$U_{gw} = i \times \frac{K}{365}$$



Hydraulic conductivity



Figure 32 – Ranges of intrinsic permeability, k, and hydraulic conductivity, K, values. The alternating colors are used to make the chart easier to read. For conversion purposes, $1 \text{ cm/s} = 1.02 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2$ and 1.04×10^3 darcy (after Freeze and Cherry, 1979).

EGLE

Infiltration rate

- Annual precipitation
 - Assume 10% of precipitation if site is unpaved
 - Assume 1% of precipitation if site is paved



Sensitivity Analysis

- Infiltration
 - Variability in annual precipitation has little effect on SSTL
 - 10% vs 1% of precipitation
 - Affects soil SSTL by ~10x
- Hydraulic conductivity
 - Range of several orders of magnitude
 - A change in 10x affects soil SSTL by ~10x



Soil SSTLs

- Infiltration of 30"/year x 10% (no pavement)
- Hydraulic conductivity of 35,000 cm/y (silty sand)
- Source parallel to flow of 25'
- Hydraulic gradient of 0.01
- Mixing zone thickness of 7'
- DAF-mixing zone = 13.86
 - A 13x dilution from leachate to GW at edge of source area
 - Need to convert leachate concentration to soil concentration



Equilibrium Conversion Factor (ECF)

Volumetric water/air content



Soil SSTL Calculation

$$\frac{1}{DAF} = \frac{C(x)}{C_o} = erf\left[\frac{Y}{4\sqrt{\alpha_y x}}\right] \times erf\left[\frac{\delta_{gw}}{2\sqrt{\alpha_z x}}\right]$$

$$C_{sl} = DAF_{unsat} \times DAF_{mix} \times DAF_{sat} \times C_{POE}$$

$$C_s = C_{sl} \times ECF$$

$$ECF = \frac{[\theta_{wv} + (K_d \times \rho_{bv}) + (H'_{ST} \times \theta_{av})]}{\rho_{bv}}$$

$$K_d = K_{oc} \times f_{ocv}$$

$$U_{gw} = i \times \frac{K}{365}$$

Takeaway

Soil concentrations in the source area are a **poor indicator** of groundwater concentrations at the POE



Sensitivity Analysis

Infiltration rate at 10%, K = 35,000 cm/y Foc = 0.002 Infiltration rate at 10%, K = 35,000 cm/y Foc = 0.02

Infiltration rate at 1%, K = 350,000 cm/y Foc = 0.002

	SSTL(soil source)	SSTL(soil source)	SSTL(soil source)
Benzene	390	2390	36182
Toluene	83248	590231	7730219
Ethylbenzene	12635	103208	1173215
Xylenes	42223	336380	3920700

EGLE

• Recent average – GW source

TMW-1	Concentration 1	Concentration 2	Concentration 3	Recent Average
Benzene	170	220	68	153
Toluene	1500	370	4.1	625
Ethylbenzene	830	64	43	312
Xylenes	3300	830	14	1381

• Recent average – POC1, POC2

MW-4	Conc 1	Conc 2	Average
Benzene	6.1	7.8	7.0
Toluene	110	160	135
Ethylbenzene	110	200	155
Xylenes	496	580	538

MW-3s	Conc 1	Conc 2	Average
Benzene	95	26	60.5
Toluene	1	1	1
Ethylbenzene	1	1	1
Xylenes	1	1	1

EGLE

Benzene SSTLs and Representative Concentrations



Toluene SSTLs and Representative Concentrations



EGLE

Ethylbenzene SSTLs and Representative Concentrations



EGLE

Xylenes SSTLs and Representative Concentrations



Tier 2 Risk Evaluation – Without Biodegradation

MIRBCA REPORT														FORM NO. 2	-7(5FG
Facility ID number:			Date(s) confirmed release(s) discovered:												
Date form completed:				Form com	pleted by:										
	COMPARISON	OF TIER 2 S	STLs V	VITH REPRES	ENTATIVE C	ONCEN	TRATIONS -	RESIDENTI	AL FUT	URE USE (GF	ROUNDWAT	ER PRO	TECTION)		
	SO	IL SOURCE		GROUND	WATER SOL	RCE					GRO	UNDV	VATER POCs		
			C/NC			C/NC			C/NC			C/NC			C/NC
CHEMICALS OF CONCERN	Groundwat	er protection	с	Groundwate	er protection	с	Groundwate (PO	er protection IC 1)	с	Groundwate (PO	er protection (C 2)	с	Groundwate (PO	er protection C 3)	с
	Rep. Conc. [ua/ka]	SSTLs	E/NE	Rep. Conc. [µg/L]	SSTLs	E/NE	Rep. Conc. [µq/L]	SSTLs	E/NE	Rep. Conc. [ua/L]	SSTLs	E/NE	Rep. Conc. [µg/L]	SSTLs	E/NE
DISTANCE FROM SOURCE TO POE AND POC (ft)		1 11 3 31	t	50				40	-		50			130	-
POC WELL ID								M₩-3s		MW-4		MW-4		NW-8	
Benzene		3.30E+02		1.53E+02	5.50E+01	E	7.00E+00	3.30E+01	NE	6.05E+01	3.10E+01	E	1.00E+00	7.00E+00	NE
Toluene		8.32E+04		6.25E+02	8.63E+03	NE	1.35E+02	6.18E+03	NE	1.00E+00	4.86E+03	NE	1.00E+00	1.03E+03	NE
Ethylbenzene		1.26E+04		3.12E+02	8.14E+02	NE	1.55E+02	5.79E+02	NE	1.00E+00	4.55E+02	NE	1.00E+00	3.70E+01	NE
Total xylenes		4.22E+04		1.38E+03	3.08E+03	NE	5.38E+02	2.19E+03	NE	1.00E+00	1.72E+03	NE	1.00E+00	3.66E+02	NE
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene															



Groundwater Protection SSTLs with Biodegradation

Steve Beukema, Ph.D. Part 213 Program Coordinator 269.547.0125 | <u>beukemas@michigan.gov</u>



Biodegradation



57

$$v = (K i)/(R_s \theta_{rs})$$

where:

- K = Hydraulic conductivity [cm/year]
- i = Hydraulic gradient [--] $\theta_{rs} = Total porosity in the sate$
- θ_{rs} = Total porosity in the saturated zone [cm³/cm³-soil]

$$R_s$$
 = Retardation factor in the saturated zone [--]

$$R_s = 1 + \frac{\rho_{bs} K_d}{\theta_{TS}}$$

$$K_d = K_{oc} \times f_{ocs}$$

Half-life

$$t_{1/2} = \frac{0.693}{\lambda}$$

- Benzene default half-life of 5 years
- Can calculate site-specific half-life (Appendix C)



What does half-life signify?

- Radioactive isotopes (e.g. C14)
 - The time for 50% of isotope to decay
 - C14 half-life is 5,730 years



What does half-life signify?

- Source concentrations vs. time
- Concentration vs. distance
- Concentration of benzene is related to:
 - Time (half-life)
 - Velocity
 - Hydraulic conductivity
 - Hydraulic gradient
 - Retardation factor (Koc, Foc)
 - Porosity



What does half-life signify?

- The time (and distance) for the concentration to decrease by 50%
- In our example
 - K = 35,000 cm/year
 - V = 403 cm/year = 13 ft/year
- Assume benzene half-life = 5 years
 - 13 ft x 5 years = 65 feet



Biodegradation

- At 65' = 50% concentration
- At 130' = 25% concentration
- At 195' = 12.5% concentration
- At 260' = 6.25% concentration
- At 325' = 3.13% concentration

- 1 half-life
- 2 half-lives
- 3 half-lives
- 4 half-lives
- 5 half-lives

Benzene SSTLs with 5-year half-life

	GW source	POC1	POC2	POC3	POE
Benzene (5-yr half-life)	219	104	74	8	5
Benzene (no degradation)	55	39	31	7	5
Representative concentration	153	7	60	1	

Benzene SSTLs with 5-year half-life



Site-specific half-life

- Identify wells along plume centerline
- Calculate natural log of concentrations
- Calculate slope: LN(concentration) vs. distance
- Test if null hypothesis can be rejected at 0.05 level of significance
 - Null hypothesis: slope of regression line is zero (no relationship between LN(concentration) and distance
 - Estimate seepage velocity and longitudinal dispersivity



Site-specific half-life

- Multiple slope by seepage velocity to estimate k
- Estimate biodegradation rate (λ)

$$\lambda = \frac{v}{4\alpha_x} \left\{ \left[1 + 2\alpha_x \left(\frac{k}{v} \right) \right]^2 - 1 \right\}$$



Site-specific inputs

	GW source	POC1
Distance	0	50
Concentration (event 1)	220	95
Concentration (event 2)	68	26

Half-life (event 1)	2.30
Half-life (event 2)	2.00
Half-life (average)	2.14

SSTLs with site-specific half-life

	GW source	POC1	POC2	POC3	POE
Benzene (half-life 2.3 years)	810	249	162	9	5
Benzene (half-life 2.0 years)	1141	310	196	9	5
Benzene (half-life 2.14 years)	951	276	177	9	5
Benzene (5-yr half-life)	219	104	74	8	5
Benzene (no degradation)	55	39	31	7	5
Representative concentration	153	7	60	1	



SSTL comparison



EGLE

Sensitivity Analysis – hydraulic conductivity

- Half-life = 5 years
- K = 35,000 cm/year
- V = 13 ft/year
- SSTLsource = 219 ppb
- K = 350,000 cm/year
- V = 132 ft/year
- SSTLsource = 64 ppb





Michigan Department of **Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy**

800-662-9278 Michigan.gov/egle



Follow us at: <u>Michigan.gov/egleConnect</u>

